



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/901,531	07/09/2001	Brian C. Barnes	2000.054600	7123	
23720	7590 04/05/2005	EXAMINER			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, MORGAN & AMER MOND, SUITE 1100	BROWN, CHRISTOPHER J			
HOUSTON,	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2134		
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/901,53		BRIAN C BARNES				
		Examiner		Art Unit	<u></u>			
		Christophe	er J Brown	2134				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	5 November 2	<u>004</u> .					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	This action is n	on-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
10)[The specification is objected to by the Exame The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the core The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) the drawing(s) b rection is requir	e held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 Cl				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB er No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal B 6) Other:	Date	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/901,531

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's arguments, filed 11/15/2004, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-21 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 12 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Each claim states forwarding control codes and an authentication code to a physical layer which signals a security violation if the control codes are in inconsistent with the authentication code. As is well known in the art, the physical layer relates to gaining access to a medium and putting bits on the wire or extracting bits from the wire, the physical layer deals with issues such as volts, and amps. It is unclear how the physical layer could be used in authentication. The physical layer protocol is not capable of such an action.

Art Unit: 2134

The applicant describes the physical layer on page 5 lines 11-18 of the instant specification. There are no indications of how the physical layer could be used in an authentication method.

Also the definition of the physical layer can be found on page 302 of the Microsoft Computer Dictionary second edition. The definition agrees with the applicants description on page 5 lines 11-18, but does not provide for authentication.

Claims 1, 12 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Each claim states the control codes being "inconsistent" with the authentication code. It is not clear what the applicant the applicant is referring to as "inconsistent". The term "inconsistent" is broad and indefinite.

Claim 21 recites the limitation "the transceiver" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 2-11, and 13-20 are rejected based on their dependence on the rejected independent claims.

Conclusion

3. The Previous Non-final office action did not rely on forwarding to a physical layer for authentication because that is not typically what a physical layer does.

Art Unit: 2134

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher J Brown whose telephone number is (571)272-3833. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on (571)272-3838. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher J. Brown

3/18/05

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100